NEW ZEALAND COUNCIL OF LEGAL EDUCATION NEW ZEALAND LAW AND PRACTICE EXAMINATION

PRESCRIPTION FOR 2025 EXAMINATIONS (Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

PART I NEW ZEALAND LEGAL SYSTEM

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material published or sold by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is **open book**. (Hard copies of statutes, cases and notes may be brought into the exam room; but no electronic materials or devices.)

INCLUDES: Sources and Development of the Constitution; Fundamental Principles; Treaty of Waitangi; Institutions of the State; Judicial Review; Domestic Incorporation of International Law; New Zealand Bill of Rights; Officers of Parliament; Official Information and Human Rights and Protected Disclosures; Statutory Interpretation.

This prescription covers the New Zealand Legal System. This includes the principles and workings of the New Zealand Constitution, the institutions of government, relations between the citizen and the state, and some of the controls on the exercise of public power.

The coverage is broad and comprehensive. While individual aspects of the New Zealand Legal System may be similar to those in some other countries, the system as a whole is unique to New Zealand and needs to be studied in its entirety. It is fundamental to the operation and practice of law in New Zealand. Therefore, a reasonable knowledge of these laws, policies and their operation is required. This prescription sets out all of the examinable material and candidates are not expected to go beyond it.

Materials

The textbook by Philip Joseph, *Constitutional and Administrative Law in New Zealand* (5th, Thomson Reuters, Wellington, 2021) is the recommended reading for this Part. It provides a comprehensive coverage of the topics prescribed.

The Joseph text is generally more comprehensive than is required for the purposes of this Part. Therefore, in order to provide some focus for study and the examination, the topics below have been separately identified, along with the most important primary materials.

Another useful book is Grant Morris Law Alive: The New Zealand Legal System in Context (4th ed, Thomson Reuters, 2019). This is an introductory text which covers some of the topics in this Part, though much less comprehensively than Joseph. It is most helpful as an accessible general background to the New Zealand legal system. Candidates may want to read the relevant chapters of Law Alive before moving onto Joseph.

Candidates should read the 2023 NZ Law Commission report on tikanga, *He Poutama*. Candidates are also encouraged to read the NZ Cabinet Manual 2023 which can be found at https://cabinetmanual.cabinetoffice.govt.nz/.

1. Sources and Development of the Constitution

Readings:

Joseph, chapters 2, 6, 7 and 10

2. Fundamental Principles of the Constitution

A. Rule of Law

Statutes and Cases:

Covid-19 Public Health Response Act 2020 Transport Ministry v Payn [1977] 2 NZLR 50 (CA) Attorney-General v Dotcom [2014] NZCA 19

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 8

B. Separation of Powers

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 9

C. Parliamentary Sovereignty

Statutes and Cases:

Bill of Rights 1688

Fitzgerald v Muldoon [1976] 2 NZLR 615 (SC)

Westco Lagan Ltd v AG [2001] 1 NZLR 40 (HC)

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 16

3. Treaty of Waitangi, Tikanga Māori and Native Title

Statutes:

Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975
Treaty of Waitangi Amendment Act 1985
Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011

Readings:

Joseph, chapters 4 and 5 NZ Law Commission, *He Poutama Report* (2023)

Specific Cases:

Hoani Te Heuheu Tukino v Aotea District Maori Land Board [1941] NZLR 590 (PC) Te Weehi v Regional Fisheries Officer [1986] 1 NZLR 680 New Zealand Maori Council v Attorney-General [1987] 1 NZLR 641 Attorney-General v Ngati Apa [2003] 3 NZLR 643 Takamore v Clarke [2012] NZSC 116 Ngāti Whātua Orakei Trust v Attorney-General [2018] NZSC 84 Trans-Tasman Resources v Taranaki-Whanganui Conservation Board [2021] NZSC 127 Ngāti Whātua Orakei Trust v Attorney-General [2022] NZHC 843 Ellis v R [2022] NZSC 114 Smith v Fonterra [2024] NZSC 5

4. Institutions of State:

A. Parliament

Statutes:

Electoral Act 1993 Electoral (Integrity) Amendment Act 2018

Readings:

Joseph, chapters 12 and 14

Specific Case:

Prebble v Television NZ Ltd [1994] 3 NZLR 1 (PC) Make it 16 Inc v Attorney-General [2022] NZSC 134

B. Courts

Statutes:

Constitution Act 1986, ss 23-24
District Court Act 2016
Declaratory Judgments Act 1908, sections 2, 3, 4 and 7
Imperial Laws Application Act 1988
Senior Courts Act 2016

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 21

Specific Cases:

Simpson v Attorney-General [1955] NZLR 271
Ashby v Minister of Immigration [1981] 1 NZLR 222
Tavita v Minister of Immigration [1994] 2 NZLR 257
Tangiora v Wellington District Legal Services Committee [2000] 1 NZLR 17 (PC)

C. Governor-General

Statutes:

Constitution Act 1986, ss 2-5, 16-18 Letters Patent Constituting the Office of the Governor-General of NZ, SR 1983/225

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 19

D. The Executive: Cabinet, Prime Minister and the Executive Council

Statutes:

Constitution Act 1986, section 6 State-Owned Enterprises Act 1986 Public Finance Act 1989 Public Service Act 2020

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 20 NZ Cabinet Manual 2023

Specific Case:

CIR v Medical Council of NZ [1997] 2 NZLR 297

5. Judicial Review

Legislation:

High Court Rules, Part 30 Judicial Review Procedure Act 2016 New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, sections 22, 23, 27

Readings:

Joseph, chapters 22-26.

Specific Cases:

Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Servants [1985] 1 AC 374 University of Auckland v Tertiary Education Commission [2004] 2 NZLR 668 (HC) Attorney-General v Ahmed Zaoui [2005] NZSC 38; [2006] 1 NZLR 289 (SC) Lab Tests Auckland Ltd v Auckland District Health Board [2009] 1 NZLR 776 (CA) Christiansen v Director-General of Health (2020) NZHC 887 Borrowdale v Director-General of Health (2020) NZHC 2090

6. Domestic Incorporation of International Law

Readings:

Cabinet Manual (2023). Relevant paragraphs:

- 5.78 5.82 (International treaties and Cabinet);
- 7.68 7.69 (Compliance with international obligations);
- 7.127 7.129 (Examination of international treaties by the House).

Specific Cases:

Ashby v Minister of Immigration [1981] 1 NZLR 222 (CA) Tavita v Minister of Immigration [1994] 2 NZLR 257 (CA) Puli'uvea v Removal Review Authority (1996) 2 HRNZ 510 (CA) NZ Airline Pilots' Association v AG [1997] 3 NZLR 269 Sellers v Maritime Safety Inspector [1999] 2 NZLR 44 (CA)

7. New Zealand Bill of Rights

Statute:

New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 28

Specific Cases:

R v Jefferies [1994] 1 NZLR 290
Simpson v Attorney-General ["Baigent's Case"] [1994] 3 NZLR 667
Moonen v Film and Literature Board of Review [2000] 2 NZLR 9 (CA)
R v Pora [2001] 2 NZLR 37 (CA)
R v Shaheed [2002] 2 NZLR 377 (CA)
Hansen v R [2007] NZSC 7 (NZSC)
Ministry of Health v Atkinson [2012] NZCA 184
Attorney-General v Taylor [2018] NZSC 104
Attorney-General v Smith [2018] NZCA 24
Grounded Kiwis v Minster of Health [2022] NZHC 832
Make it 16 Inc v Attorney-General [2022] NZSC 134

8. Officers of Parliament

Statute:

Ombudsmen Act 1975, sections 5, 20 and 28

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 13

9. Official Information and Human Rights and Protected Disclosures

Statutes:

Official Information Act 1982 Human Rights Act 1993 Privacy Act 2020 Protected Disclosures Act 2022

Readings:

Joseph, chapter 11

10. Statutory Interpretation:

Statutes:

Interpretation Act 1999 Legislation Act 2019

PART II LAW OF CONTRACT

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material published or sold by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is closed book.

New Zealand contract law is in general based upon English common law, but there are significant differences because of New Zealand legislation and case law developments.

Much of New Zealand's statutory contract law can be found in the Contract and Commercial Law Act 2017 (CCLA). This Act consolidated a number of older Acts without changing substantive aspects of the law. There is a table of equivalent provisions appended to the CCLA, which may be helpful when referring to older case law and texts.

The focus of the examination is on the key principles of the legislation and its application in practice as demonstrated by case law. Candidates should know the key elements of the relevant statutes. Candidates should understand New Zealand specific terminology and be able to give evidence of their understanding of key principles by their application to fact situations.

Candidates are expected to read and understand all of the statutes/provisions/cases below, and be prepared to answer questions on them. An illustrative case is provided in some sections to guide candidates' understanding of the type of issues they may encounter.

The text for general reference for commentary on principal cases and legislation is Burrows, Finn and Todd *Law of Contract in New Zealand* (7ed, LexisNexis, Wellington, 2022). Candidates are encouraged to read more widely and deepen their understanding by referring to leading text books and cases.

1. Contractual Privity

CCLA, ss 10-20 (formerly Contracts (Privity) Act 1982)
Illustrative case: Laidlaw v Parsonage [2009] NZCA 291; [2010] 1 NZLR 286

2. Contractual Mistakes

CCLA, ss 21-32 (formerly Contractual Mistakes Act 1977)
Illustrative case: *Tri-Star Customs and Forwarding Ltd v Denning* [1999] 1 NZLR 33 (CA)

3. Contractual Remedies

CCLA, ss 33-59 (formerly Contractual Remedies Act 1979)
Illustrative case: Mana Property Trustee Ltd v James Developments Ltd [2010] NZSC 90;
[2010] 3 NZLR 805

4. Frustrated Contracts

CCLA, ss 60-69 (formerly Frustrated Contracts Act 1944)
Illustrative case: Planet Kids Ltd v Auckland Council [2013] NZSC 147; [2014] 1 NZLR 149

5. Illegal Contracts

CCLA, ss 70-84 (formerly Illegal Contracts Act 1970)

Illustrative case: Harding v Coburn [1976] 2 NZLR 577 (CA)

Prostitution Reform Act 2003

6. Minors' Contracts

CCLA, ss 85-117 (formerly Minors' Contracts Act 1969)

7. Consumer Contracts

Fair Trading Act 1986 Consumer Guarantees Act 1993 Credit Contracts and Consumer Finance Act 2003 Illustrative case: *Nesbit v Porter* [2000] 2 NZLR 465 (CA)

8. Sale of Goods

CCLA, pt 3 (formerly Sale of Goods Act 1908, and Sale of Goods (United Nations Convention) Act 1994)

9. Electronic Transactions

CCLA, pt 4 (formerly Electronic Transactions Act 2002)

10. Deeds & Formalities

Property Law Act 2007, ss 9-27A

11. Contract Interpretation

Vector Gas Ltd v Bay of Plenty Energy Ltd [2010] NZSC 5; [2010] 2 NZLR 444 Gibbons Holdings Ltd v Wholesale Distributors Ltd [2007] NZSC 37; [2008] 1 NZLR 277

PART III CRIMINAL LAW

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material published or sold by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is **open book.** (Hard copies of statutes, cases and notes may be brought into the exam room; but no electronic materials or devices.)

INCLUDES General Principles of Liability; Offences Against the Person and Against Property; Other Enactments; General and Special Defences.

This prescription sets out all of the examinable material. Candidates should concentrate on the following:

1. General principles of liability

Mens rea, fault and strict and absolute liability, consent, burden of proof: Crimes Act, s 20; Millar v Ministry of Transport [1986] 1 NZLR 660; R v Anderson [2005] 1 NZLR 774; Cameron v R [2018] 1 NZLR 161;; Shark Experience Ltd v PauaMAC5 Inc [2019] 1 NZLR 791

Complicity: Crimes Act 1961, sections 66, 70 and 311(2); Larkins v Police [1987] 2 NZLR 282; R v Hartley [2007] 3 NZLR 299; Ahsin v R [2015] 1 NZLR 493; Burke v R [2024] 1 NZLR 1

Attempt: Crimes Act 1961, sections 72 and 311(1); *R v Donnelly* [1970] NZLR 980; *R v L* [2006] 3 NZLR 291 (SC) beginning at 306; *R v Harpur* (2010) 24 CRNZ 909; *Ah-Chong v R* [2016] 1 NZLR 445; *Johnston v R* [2016] 1 NZLR 1134; *Mesman v R* [2023] 2 NZLR 58

Threatening and Conspiracy: Crimes Act 1961, sections 306-310; *R v Gemmell* [1985] 2 NZLR 740; *R v Sanders* [1984] 1 NZLR 636; *R v Richards* (1992) 9 CRNZ 403; *R v Morris* [2001] 3 NZLR 759

2. Offences against the person

Crimes Act 1961, sections 150A-210A.

Homicide: *R v Piri* [1987] 1 NZLR 66; *R v Aramakutu* [1991] 3 NZLR 429; *R v Harney* [1987] 2 NZLR 576; *R v Lee* [2006] 3 NZLR 42; *Shadrock v R* [2011] 3 NZLR 573; *Kaitai v R* [2024] NZSC 110

Assault: R v Nazif [1987] 2 NZLR 122; R v Kerr [1988] 1 NZLR 270; R v Mwai [1995] 3 NZLR 149; R v Barker (2009) 24 CRNZ 308; S (CA338/2016) v R (2017) 28 CRNZ 422

Sexual Crimes: Crimes Act 1961, sections 127-144C; *R v A* [2003] 1 NZLR 1; *Y (SC40/2013) v R* [2014] 1 NZLR 724; *LM v R* [2015] 1 NZLR 23; *Christian v R* [2018] 1 NZLR 315; *Rowe v R* [2018] 1 NZLR 875; *Crump v R* [2022] 2 NZLR 454

3. Crimes against rights of property

Crimes Act 1961, sections 217-305; *Boese v R* [2014] 3 NZLR 16; *Cullen v R* [2015] 1 NZLR 715; *Dixon v R* [2016] 1 NZLR 678; *Bublitz v R* [2019] 3 NZLR 533

4. General and special defences

Mistake and intoxication: Crimes Act 1961, section 20; *R v Kamipeli* [1975] 2 NZLR 610; *R v Metuariki* [1986] 1 NZLR 488; *R v Tihi* [1990] 1 NZLR 540; *Herewini v R* (2013) 26 CRNZ 666 (CA)

Self-defence and defence of property: Crimes Act 1961, sections 48 and 56; R v Wang [1990] 2 NZLR 529; R v Bridger [2013] 1 NZLR 636; McNaughton v R [2014] 2 NZLR 467; Afamasaga v R (2015) 27 CRNZ 640

Insanity: Crimes Act 1961, section 23; *R* v *Macmillan* [1966] NZLR 616; *R* v *Green* [1993] 2 NZLR 513; *R* v *Yesler* [2007] 1 NZLR 240; *R* v *Dixon* [2008] 2 NZLR 617; *Brackenridge* v *R* [2019] NZAR 932 (HC)

Compulsion: Crimes Act 1961, section 24; *R* v *Raroa* [1987] 2 NZLR 486; *R* v *Neho* [2013] NZAR 464; *Hay* v *R* [2015] NZAR 1426; *R* v *Taiaroa* [2015] NZHC 2401; *Holland* v *R* (2016) 28 CRNZ 716

Necessity: Crimes Act 1961, section 20; *Police* v *Kawiti* [2000] 1 NZLR 117; *Akulue* v *R* [2014] 1 NZLR 17; *Leason* v *Attorney-General* [2014] 2 NZLR 224; commentary and mana wahine reimagination of *Police* v *Kawiti* in McDonald and others (eds) *Feminist Judgments of Aotearoa* (Hart Publishing, 2017) 481-496

Automatism: Crimes Act 1961, section 20; *R* v *Campbell* (1997) 15 CRNZ 138; *Burnskey* v *Police* (1992) 8 CRNZ 582; *R* v *Stone* [1999] 2 SCR 290; *De Roo* v *R* [2019] NZCA 504; *Cameron* v *R* [2021] 3 NZLR 152

5. Other enactments (to be aware of; no need to know the detail of)

Criminal Procedure (Mentally Impaired Persons) Act 2003

Family Violence Act 2018

Harmful Digital Communications Act 2015

Intellectual Disability (Compulsory Care and Rehabilitation) Act 2003

New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (especially ss 21-29)

Sentencing Act 2002

Summary Offences Act 1981

6. Useful texts and reference material

Simester and Brookbanks, *Principles of Criminal Law* (5 ed, Thomson Reuters, Wellington, 2019)

Downs (ed), *Adams on Criminal Law - Offences and Defences* (Thomson Reuters, on-line, or 2024 student edition, paperback)

Annual criminal law updates in the New Zealand Law Review (available on-line)

PART IV PROPERTY

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material published or sold by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is closed book.

Introduction

The focus of analysis and assessment is the basic principles and rules of common law and statute that make up the particular areas of real property law in New Zealand identified below, with special reference to how New Zealand law differs from the law of other jurisdictions. This includes (a) the land transfer system under the Land Transfer Act 2017 and Land Transfer Regulations 2018 (with reference to pre-existing case law decided under the Land Transfer Act 1952); and (b) the general principles of property law as restated and altered by the Property Law Act 2007; (3) Māori land issues.

This prescription describes all the main topics that will be assessed. It also provides a list of some key cases; this list is not exhaustive because the basic principles of New Zealand law are found in many cases through the years, as well in the key statutes. It is these principles, also stated in textbooks, which are most important.

The examination will be comprised of short answer, essay and/or problem style questions which require the demonstration of knowledge and understanding of the principles and key cases and statutory provisions, as well as the ability to accurately and clearly answer theoretical and/or practical questions.

Materials

Starting points for candidates should be either of the following text books:

- Toomey et al New Zealand Land Law (3 ed, Brookers, Wellington, 2017).
- Campbell et al *Principles of Land Law in New Zealand* (3 ed, LexisNexis, Wellington, 2020).

Those with access to legal databases will find the following online loose-leaf texts useful:

 DW McMorland and others Hinde McMorland & Sim Land Law in New Zealand (online looseleaf ed, LexisNexis NZ)

Legislation

- Property Law Act 2007
- Land transfer Act 2017
- Te Ture Whenua Māori / Māori Land Act 1993
- Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011

Candidates will be expected to know the following topics:

1. The Land Transfer System

You need to know the workings of the land transfer system, especially the consequences of land registration as contained in the Land Transfer Act 2017 and relevant case law, most notably the indefeasibility of title and exceptions to indefeasibility. In particular, the concepts of indefeasibility, Land Transfer Act fraud, in personam and manifest injustice; the law on conflicts between the Land Transfer Act with other statutes, in particular the conflicts between the Land Transfer Act and Te Ture Whenua Māori/Māori Land Act; the issues of protecting unregistered interests, such as trusts, Māori interests in land, in personam claims, and caveats.

Some relevant cases include:

Assets Co Ltd v Mere Roihi [1905] AC 176 (PC);

Harris v Fitzmaurice [1956] NZLR 975;

Bunt v Hallinan [1985] 1 NZLR 450;

Housing Corp v Māori Trustee [1988] 2 NZLR 662;

Duncan v McDonald [1997] 3 NZLR 669;

Landco Albany Ltd v Fu Hao Construction Ltd [2006] 2 NZLR 174;

Nathan v Dollars & Sense Ltd [2008] 2 NZLR 557 (SC);

Regal Castings v Lightbody [2008] NZSC 87, [2009] 2 NZLR 433;

Warin v Registrar-General of Land (2008) NZCPR 73;

Westpac v Clark [2009] NZSC 73;

Philpott v Noble Investments Ltd [2015] NZCA 342;

Mau Whenua Inc v Shelly Bay Investments Ltd [2019] NZHC 3222;

Infinity Enterprises NZ Limited v Kinara Trustee Limited [2020] NZCA 309, [2020] 3 NZLR 626;

FM Custodians Ltd v R [2020] NZCA 285;

North Shore Aero Club Inc v Black River Trustees Ltd [2020] NZHC 3070.

2. Interests in Land

(a) The legal nature of mortgages, including that set out in the Land Transfer Act and the Property Law Act 2007 (especially Part 3). Relevant cases include:

Downsview Nominees Ltd v First City Corp Ltd [1993] 1 NZLR 513;

Apple Fields Ltd v Damesh Holdings Ltd [2001] 2 NZLR 586 (CA);

Westpac v Clark [2009] NZSC 73, [2010] 1 NZLR 82;

GE Custodians v Bartle [2010] NZSC 146, [2011] 2 NZLR 31;

Burgess v TSB Bank Ltd [2015] NZCA 361.

(b) The legal nature of leases, and the creation and registration of leases under the Land Transfer Act; provisions relating to leases under the Property Law Act 2007 (especially Part 4). Relevant cases include:

Kalmac Property Consultants Ltd v Delicious Foods Ltd [1974] 2 NZLR 631

Nordern v Blueport [1996] 3 NZLR 450;

Fatac Ltd (In Lig) v Commissioner for Inland Revenue [2002] 3 NZLR 648;

Tram Lease Ltd v Croad [2003] 2 NZLR 461, [2003] NZCA 77;

Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Christchurch v RFD Investments Ltd (in rec and lip) [2015] NZHC 2647, (2015) 17 NZCPR 43;

Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd v Development Auckland Ltd [2016] NZSC 89, [2017] NZLR 48.

(c) The legal nature of easements, and the creation of easements and registration thereof under the Land Transfer Act; provisions relating to easements, especially their modification and extinguishment, under the Property Law Act 2007. Relevant cases include:

Barry v Fenton [1952] NZLR 990 (SC)

Escrow Holdings Forty-one Ltd v District Court at Auckland [2016] NZSC 167, [2017] 1 NZLR 374;

Davey v Baker [2016] NZCA 313, [2016] 3 NZLR 776;

Okey v Kingsbeer [2017] NZCA 625; Schmuck v Opua Coastal Preservation Inc [2019] NZSC 118, [2019] 1 NZLR 750.

(d) The legal nature of freehold covenants, and the creation, enforceability (especially compared with common law and equity), modification and extinguishment of covenants under the Property Law Act 2007. Relevant cases include:

Big River Paradise Ltd v Congreve [2008] NZCA 78, [2008] 2 NZLR 402

Omaha Beach Residents' Society Inc v Townsend Brooker Ltd [2010] NZCA 413, [2010] 12 NZCPR 256;

Macrae v Walshe [2013] NZCA 664;

Green Growth v The QE2 Trust [2018] NZSC 75;

Synlait Milk Ltd v New Zealand Industrial Park Ltd [2020] NZSC 157, [2020] 1 NZLR 657.

3. Neighbouring land, fixtures, co-ownership etc

(a) The law relating to entry onto neighbouring land, wrongly placed structures, and landlocked land: Property Law Act 2007 sections 319-331; Te Ture Whenua Māori Act sections 326A-326B. Some relevant cases include:

Kingfish Lodge (1993) Ltd v Archer [2000] 3 NZLR 364 (CA);

BA Trustees Ltd v Druskovich [2007] NZCA 131, [2007] 3 NZLR 279;

MacLaurin v Hexton Holdings [2008] NZCA 570;

Murray v BC Group (2003) Ltd [2010] NZCA 163, [2010] 3 NZLR 590;

Greenslade v Honeymoon Bay Holdings Ltd [2014] NZCA 315;

Barry Park Investments Ltd v Johnson [2019] NZCA 686.

(b) The doctrine of fixtures and the concept of tenants' fixtures. Some relevant cases include:

Whenuapai Joinery (1988) Ltd v Trust Bank Central Ltd [1994] 1 NZLR 406; Lockwood Buildings Ltd v Trust Bank [1995] 1 NZLR 22; Auckland City Council v Ports of Auckland Ltd [2000] 3 NZLR 614 (CA); Queenstown Central Ltd v March Construction Ltd [2016] NZHC 1884;

Lakes Edge Developments Ltd v Kawarau Village Holdings Ltd [2017] NZCA 205;

(c) Co-ownership of land, and division of property (especially sections 47 and 48 Land Transfer Act 2017 and sections 339-343 Property Law Act 2007). Some relevant cases include:

Fleming v Hargreaves [1976] 1 NZLR 123;

Bayly v Hicks [2012] NZCA 589;

Minehan v McGuigan [2020] NZHC 1686.

4. Basics of Māori Land Law and Tikanga

(a) The concepts of Crown land, Māori freehold land and Māori customary land as defined in Te Ture Whenua Māori/Māori Land Act 1993, the general principles and aims of that Act, the functions of the Māori Land Court, and the application of tikanga in land cases. Some relevant cases include:

Registrar-General of Land v Marshall [1995] 2 NZLR 189 (HC);

Valuer-General v Mangatu Inc [1997] 3 NZLR 641 (CA);

Bruce v Edwards [2003] 1 NZLR 515 (CA);

Ngati Apa v Attorney-General [2003] 3 NZLR 643 (CA);

Jensen v Registrar General of Land [2013] NZHC 3525;

(b) Māori customary interests in the foreshore and seabed, the system of rights and interest recognition under the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011, the application of tikanga in marine and coastal area claims. Some relevant cases include:

Ngati Apa v Attorney-General [2003] 3 NZLR 643 (CA);

Re Tipene [2016] NZHC 3199;

Re Edwards Whakatōhea [2023] 3 NZLR 252, [2023] NZCA 504

PART V LAW OF TORTS

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

[INCLUDES ACCIDENT COMPENSATION; NEGLIGENCE; DEFAMATION, AND PRIVACY]

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material published or sold by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is closed book.

Candidates are not expected to go beyond this prescription for the purposes of the examination.

Introduction

It is essential to read and understand all the statutes and cases listed in this prescription. Particularly detailed understanding is required of cases marked with an asterisk (*).

The leading textbook in this area is: Todd (ed) *The Law of Torts in New Zealand* (9th ed, Thompson Reuters, Wellington, 2023) ('Todd on Torts').

Useful summaries of cases can also be found in:

- Atkin and McLay, *Torts in New Zealand: Cases and Materials* (6th ed, Oxford University Press, Auckland, 2021), and
- McLay, Student Companion: Torts (7th ed, LexisNexis, Wellington, 2015).

Candidates must be aware of key differences between New Zealand law and that of other common law jurisdictions.

A. Accident Compensation

New Zealand operates a no fault accident compensation scheme. The scheme is currently regulated by the Accident Compensation Act 2001 (ACA 2001). (Note that until 2010, the ACA 2001 was called the Injury Prevention, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2001.) Candidates must understand the key features of the scheme and have a general understanding of its legislative history. Understanding of the relationship between the Act and the common law is also essential.

Note that some cases in the prescription were decided under previous versions of the legislation. Candidates need to be vigilant to ensure that principles discussed in the case law are still applicable to the ACA 2001.

1. General reading:

- ACA 2001, s 3 (purpose)
- Ch 2, Todd on Torts
- Ch 7, Atkin and McLay
- Woodhouse Report (Report of the Royal Commission of Inquiry, Compensation for Personal Injury in New Zealand, 1967) (see pp 568-572 in Atkin and McLay for extract)
- New Zealand Law Review ACC Special Issue (Issue 1 of 2008)
- Geoffrey Palmer "ACC, 40 Years On" [2013] NZ Law Journal 76

2. The scope of cover:

You should be familiar with the basic concepts in Part II ('cover') of ACA 2001, in particular:

- ss 20, 21 and 21B (cover, including cover for mental injury)
- ss 25-28 (accident, personal injury, mental injury, work-related injury)
- s 30 (work-related gradual process/disease/infection)
- s 32-33 (treatment injuries)

A knowledge of these provisions is of crucial importance to understanding the sub-topics set out below.

- a. Cover for Personal Injury by Accident
 - ACC v Calver [2021] NZCA 211
 - Roper v Taylor [2023] NZSC 491; [2023] 1 NZLR 1

b. Cover for Mental injury

Note that the concept of 'personal injury by accident' (which was central to the Accident Compensation Act 1982) was narrowed by the Accident Rehabilitation and Compensation Insurance Act 1992 and subsequent legislation. This means that effect of cases such as $ACC \times E$ [1992] 2 NZLR 426 (CA), which held that 'personal injury' included mental injury, has been reversed. ACC now covers mental injury in only limited circumstances. In other situations the common law on 'nervous shock' may be applicable: see parts A(3)(b) and B(4) below

- Toomey v ACC [2017] NZACC 44
- W v ACC [2018] NZHC 937; [2018] 3 NZLR 859

c. Treatment injury

Note that the concept 'medical misadventure' was replaced by 'treatment injury' in 2005. For a summary of the reforms and their effect, see Ken Oliphant "Beyond Misadventure: Compensation for Medical Injuries in New Zealand (2007) 15 Medical Law Review 357, 369-378.

ACC v Ng [2020] NZCA 274; [2020] 2 NZLR 683

i. Causation

ACC v Ambros [2007] NZCA 304; [2008] 1 NZLR 340

ii. Cover for Pregnancy

- *Allenby v H & Ors [2012] NZSC 33; [2012] 3 NZLR 425
- C (Cumberland) v ACC [2013] NZCA 590; [2014] 2 NZLR 373
- Accident Compensation Corporation v AZ [2023] NZCA 617

3. The statutory bar and the right to sue at common law

See especially ss 317, 318 and 319 ACA 2001

a. Compensatory damages

- Attorney-General v B [2002] NZAR 809 (CA)
- Wilding v Attorney-General [2003] 3 NZLR 787 (CA)

b. Nervous shock

- *Queenstown Lakes District Council v Palmer [1999] 1 NZLR 549 (CA)
- Sivasubramaniam v Yarrall [2005] 3 NZLR 268 (HC)
- See also part B.4 below

c. Exemplary damages

- Donselaar v Donselaar [1982] 1 NZLR 97 (CA)
- Daniels v Thompson [1998] 3 NZLR 22 (CA)
- McDermott v Wallace [2005] 3 NZLR 661 (CA)
- *Couch v Attorney-General (No 2) [2010] NZSC 27; [2010] 3 NZLR 149

4. Entitlements under the ACA 2001

Candidates should be aware of the following forms of entitlements, how they might differ from each other, and when they might be available:

- first week and weekly (earnings related) compensation;
- medical expenses;
- lump sum compensation for permanent impairment;
- rehabilitation; and
- entitlements arising from fatal injuries
- See Ch 2, Todd on Torts

B. Negligence

Candidates should be aware of the different approach to negligence taken by the English and New Zealand courts.

1. General approach to negligence

- South Pacific Manufacturing Co Ltd v New Zealand Security Consultants and Investigations Ltd [1992] 2 NZLR 282 (CA)
- Rolls Royce New Zealand Ltd v Carter Holt Harvey Ltd [2005] 1 NZLR 324 (CA), esp [59]-[64]
- *Couch v Attorney-General [2008] NZSC 45; [2008] 3 NZLR 725, esp [78]-[85]
- Smith v Fonterra Cooperative Group Ltd [2024] NZSC 5; [2024] 1 NZLR 135

2. Economic loss and negligent misstatement

- Ch 4.8, Todd on Torts
- Atkin and McLay, pp 394-436
- Scott Group Ltd v McFarlane [1978] 1 NZLR 553 (CA)
- Caparo Industries Plc v Dickman [1990] 2 AC 605 (HL)
- Boyd Knight v Purdue [1999] 2 NZLR 278 (CA)
- Attorney-General v Carter [2003] 2 NZLR 160 (CA)
- Carter Holt Harvey Ltd v Minister of Education [2016] NZSC 95; [2017] 1 NZLR 78, esp [78]-[85]
- See also part B.3 below.

3. Public authority liability

a. Defective buildings

- Ch 5.4 of Todd on Torts
- Atkin and McLay, pp 437-480
- Anns v Merton London Borough Council [1978] AC 728 (HL)
- Murphy v Brentwood District Council [1991] 1 AC 398 (HL)
- *Invercargill City Council v Hamlin [1994] 3 NZLR 513 (CA)
- Te Mata Properties v Hastings District Council [2008] NZCA 446; [2009] 1 NZLR 460
- North Shore City Council v Body Corporate 188529 (Sunset Terraces) [2010] NZSC 158; [2011] 2 NZLR 289
- North Shore City Council v The Attorney-General (The Grange) [2012] NZSC 49; [2012] 3 NZLR 341
- *Body Corporate No 207624 v North Shore City Council (Spencer on Byron) [2012]
 NZSC 83; [2013] 2 NZLR 297

b. General

- Ch 6.6 of Todd on Torts
- Attorney-General v Prince [1998] 1 NZLR 262 (CA)
- B v Attorney-General [2004] 3 NZLR 145 (PC)
- *Couch v Attorney-General [2008] NZSC 45; [2008] 3 NZLR 725

4. Nervous shock

- Ch 4.7, Todd on Torts
- Atkin and McLay, pp 252-281
- Alcock v Chief Constable of the West Yorkshire Police [1992] 1 AC 310 (HL)
- Van Soest v Residual Health Management Unit [2000] 1 NZLR 179 (CA)

C. Defamation and Privacy

Candidates should understand the basic principles of the law of defamation and privacy and the key differences between English and New Zealand law. The relationship between the common law and the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 is also an important issue in this area.

1. Defamation

a. General principles:

- Defamation Act 1992
- Ch 15, Todd on Torts (above)
- Ch 8, Atkin and McLay

b. Qualified privilege:

*Durie v Gardiner [2018] NZCA 278

2. Privacy

- Ch 16, Todd on Torts
- Ch 9, Atkin and McLay
- *Hosking v Runting [2005] 1 NZLR 1 (CA)
- *C v Holland [2012] NZHC 2155; [2012] 3 NZLR 672
- Hyndman v Walker [2021] NZCA 25; [2021] 2 NZLR 686
- Peters v Attorney-General [2021] NZCA 355; [2021] 3 NZLR 191

PART VI EQUITY

(Revised to state the law at 30 September 2024)

NB: The Council takes no responsibility for, nor does it endorse examination preparation material or sold published by any other organisation or person.

The Examination for this Part is closed book.

It covers the nature and operation of trusts, fiduciary obligations, trustees' duties and powers, charitable trusts, equitable remedies, and the law of testate and intestate succession.

This prescription describes all the main topics that may be assessed. It also provides a list of some key or illustrative cases; this list is not exhaustive because the basic principles of New Zealand law are found in many cases through the years, as well in the key statutes. It is these principles, also stated in textbooks, which are most important.

The Trusts Act 2019 came into effect on 30 January 2021. The Act in parts restates, and in other parts reforms, the law found in the 'common law' and the Trustee Act 1956. Candidates should focus on the Trusts Act 2019, but should consider how courts' decisions in relation to the previous legislation may indicate how they will interpret and use the new legislation. Recent textbooks and articles (such as Geoff McLay "How to read New Zealand's new Trust Act 2019" (2020) 13 Journal of Equity 325) will help candidates to understand the new law.

The examination will be comprised of essay and/or problem style questions which require the demonstration of knowledge and understanding of the principles and key cases and statutory provisions, as well as the ability to accurately and clearly answer theoretical or practical questions.

Starting points for candidates should be the three main single-volume practitioner textbooks.

- Butler, ed, Equity & Trusts in New Zealand (2ed, Brookers, Wellington, 2009). (This
 textbook was written before a number of recent developments including the Trusts
 Act 2019, but states the main principles; a new edition is listed as available in
 November 2024 on the ThomsonReuters website)
- Kelly and Kelly, *Garrow and Kelly Law of Trusts and Trustees* (8ed, LexisNexis NZ, Wellington, 2022).
- Breach Nevill's Law of Trusts, Wills and Administration (14 ed, LexisNexis NZ, Auckland, 2023).

Candidates will be expected to know the following topics:

Note that the cases listed below the topic heading are some of the important decisions in which the topic is discussed, and they are mostly accessible on http://www.nzlii.org/. They provide a starting point for study, which **must** be supplemented by reference to the statutes below and textbook discussions of these areas. Some cases will discuss other topics as well as the one they are placed under.

- (a) The nature of a trust and fiduciary obligations, including: the legal effect of the existence of a trust; distinction between trusts and other legal relationships and institutions; the key types of trusts.
- Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46; Day v Mead [1987] NZCA 74; MacLean v Arklow Investments Ltd [1998] 3 NZLR 680; Chirnside v Fay [2006] NZSC 68; Paper Reclaim Ltd v Aotearoa International Ltd [2007] NZSC 26; Kain v Hutton [2007] 3 NZLR 349;

Mark Moncrief Stevens v Premium Real Estate Ltd [2009] NZSC 15; McIntosh v Fisk [2017] NZSC 78; Kusabs v Staite [2019] NZCA 420; Ruscoe v Cryptopia Limited (in liquidation) [2020] NZHC 728; D and E Limited v A, B and C [2022] NZCA 430; Jin v Luo [2023] NZHC 2417; Sutton v Bell [2023] NZSC 65; Kruger on behalf of Tūhoe Te Uru Taumatua Trust v Nikora on behalf of Te Kaunihera Kaumātua o Tūhoe [2023] NZCA 179.

- **(b) Creation of Trusts**, including: the three certainties; constitution of trust property; formalities; capacity; perpetuities.
- Paul v Constance [1977] 1 All ER 195; Re Beckbessinger [1993] 2 NZLR 362; Re Goldcorp Exchange Ltd [1994] 3 NZLR 385; Choithram (T) International SA v Pagarini [2001] 2 All ER 492; Proprietors of Wakatu v Attorney-General [2017] NZSC 11 focus on [420]-[436] and [571]-[582]; Clayton v Clayton [2015] NZCA 30 and [2016] NZSC 29; Smith v Ball [2020] NZHC 944; Cooper v Pinney [2023] NZCA 62
- (c) Trustees' rights, duties and powers (and beneficiaries' rights), including: fiduciary duties; investment of trust property; provision of information; disposal of property; delegation and employment of agents; carrying on business; remuneration; review of trustee discretions, fraud on a power; indemnity.
- Re Mulligan (dec'd) [1998] 1 NZLR 481; Kain v Hutton [2008] NZSC 61; Masters v Stewart [2014] NZHC 2419; McLaren v McLaren [2017] NZHC 161; Erceg v Erceg [2017] NZSC 28; LSF; Waho v Te Kōhanga Reo National Trust [2018] NZHC 1935; Lambie Trustee Ltd v Addleman [2021] NZSC 54; McGuire v Earl [2020] NZHC 3083; Gavin v Powell [2020] NZHC 3017; Kain v Public Trust [2021] NZCA 685. Easton v New Zealand Guardian Trust Co Ltd [2021] NZHC 2084; Kain v Public Trust [2023] NZHC 2535; Legler v Formannoij [2022] NZCA 607; Lambie Trustee Limited v Addleman [2023] NZSC 7
- (d) The Court's powers, including: enforcement of trusts; powers of variation; remove and appoint trustees; give directions; allow deviation from trust terms; authorise transactions.
- Mendelssohn v Centrepoint Community Growth Trust [1999] 2 NZLR 88; Gailey v Gordon [2003] 2 NZLR 192; Collinge v Kyd [2005] 1 NZLR 847; CIR v Newmarket Trustees Ltd [2012] NZCA 351; Chambers v SR Hamilton Corporate Trustee Limited [2017] NZCA 131; Re PV Trust Services Limited [2017] NZHC 2957; Guest v Warner [2018] NZHC 666; Matheson v Clare Matheson Trust Limited [2018] NZHC 1941; Mason v Triezenberg [2022] NZCA 138; Oldfield v Oldfield [2019] NZHC 492; Turvey v Vance [2022] NZHC 1167; Telford v Telford [2023] NZHC 2331.
- (e) Liability and Remedies, including: trustees' and third parties' liability; tracing; relief from liability; injunctions; specific performance; equitable compensation; account; subrogation; estoppel.

Westpac Banking Corporation v Savin [1985] 2 NZLR 41; Harvest Bakeries Ltd v Klissers Farmhouse Bakeries Ltd [1985] 2 NZLR 129; Aquaculture Corporation v New Zealand Green Mussel Co Ltd [1990] 3 NZLR 299; Bank of New Zealand v New Zealand Guardian Trust Co Ltd [1999] 1 NZLR 664; Foskett v McKeown [2000] 3 All ER 97; Chirnside v Fay [2006] NZSC 68; Premium Real Estate Ltd v Stevens [2009] NZSC 15; Westpac New Zealand Ltd v MAP & Associates Ltd [2011] NZSC 89; Selkirk v McIntyre [2013] NZHC 575; New Zealand Māori Council v Foulkes [2014] NZHC 1777; AIB Group (UK) plc v Mark Redler & Co Solicitors [2014] UKSC 58; Hotchin v New Zealand Guardian Trust Company Ltd [2016] NZSC 24; McLennan v Livaja [2017] NZCA 446; Butterfield v Public Trust [2017] NZCA 367; McKay v Sandman [2018] NZCA 103; Sandman v McKay [2019] NZSC 41; Enright v

Newton [2020] NZCA 529; Sain v Erceg [2021] NZHC 761; Lambie Trustee Ltd v Addleman [2023] NZSC 7.

(f) Resulting Trusts

 Twinsectra Ltd v Yardley & Others [2002] 2 All ER 377; Graham v Arena Capital Limited (in liquidation) [2016] NZHC 194; Horsfall v Potter [2017] NZSC 196; Mo v Yang [2022] NZCA 573

(g) Constructive Trusts

 Elders Pastoral Ltd v Bank of New Zealand [1989] 2 NZLR 180; Attorney-General for Hong Kong v Reid [1994] 1 NZLR 1; Lankow v Rose [1995] 1 NZLR 277; Commonwealth Reserves I v Chodar [2001] 2 NZLR 374; Vervoort v Forrest [2016] NZCA 375; Almond v Read [2019] NZCA 26; Siddiqui v Siddiqui [2022] NZCA 324.

(h) Charitable Trusts

- Re McElroy Trust [2003] 2 NZLR 289; Re Greenpeace of New Zealand Incorporated [2014] NZSC 105; Greenpeace of New Zealand v Charities Registration Board [2020] NZHC 1999; Attorney-General v Family First New Zealand [2022] NZSC 80; Better Public Media Trust v AG [2023] NZCA 553.
- (i) Wills, including testamentary capacity; making and revoking a will; construction; rectification; failure of testamentary gifts; intestacy.
- Woodward v Smith [2009] NZCA 215; Haldane v Haldane [2015] NZHC 352; Kirner v Falloon [2015] NZHC 1873; Green v Green [2016] NZCA 486; Loosley v Powell [2018] NZCA 3; Marshall v Singleton [2020] NZCA 450; Re Estate of Olive Ruby Piper [2021] NZHC 534.
- (j) Claims against estates, including under the Property Relationships Act, the Law Reform (Testamentary Promises Act), and the Family Protection Act.
- Re Welch [1989] 2 NZLR 1; Williams v Aucutt [2000] 2 NZLR 479; Auckland City Mission v Brown [2002] NZCA 33; Public Trust v Whyman [2004] NZCA 327; Henry v Henry [2007] NZCA 42; Samuels v Atkinson [2009] NZCA 556; Talbot v Talbot [2016] NZHC 2382; Blumenthal v Stewart [2017] NZCA 181; Hau v Hau [2018] NZHC 881; Dwight v Ross [2018] NZHC 1764; Kinney v Pardington [2019] NZHC 317; Carmont Estate of El-Alamein Harawira [2019] NZMLC 63; Koroheke v Te Whau [2020] NZHC 863; Scott v Garnham [2021] NZHC 592.
- (k) Role of tikanga Māori in trusts and succession Candidates should be able to demonstrate a basic understanding of the role of tikanga Māori in the Aotearoa New Zealand legal system, and how this affects trusts and succession law.
 - a. A basic understanding can be gained by reading key and relevant parts of the following reports. Candidates should focus on key points and concepts, and with the depth that can be expected in answering an essay within the examination; a comprehensive understanding of these documents is not required. Te Aka Matua o te Ture | Law Commission He Poutama (NZLC SP24, 2023); Analysis of tikanga in the context of succession reform: Te Aka Matua o te Ture | Law Commission He arotake i te āheinga ki ngā rawa a te tangata ka mate ana Review of succession law: rights to a person's property on death (NZLC R145, 2021).
 - b. Relevant case law from the prescription above, including New Zealand Māori Council v Foulkes [2014] NZHC 1777; Biddle v Pooley [2017] NZHC 338; Waho v Te Kōhanga Reo National Trust [2018] NZHC 1935; Kusabs v Staite [2019] NZCA 420; Carmont Estate of El-Alamein Harawira [2019] NZMLC 63;

Koroheke v Te Whau [2020] NZHC 863; Scott v Garnham [2021] NZHC 592; Kruger on behalf of Tūhoe Te Uru Taumatua Trust v Nikora on behalf of Te Kaunihera Kaumâtua o Tūhoe [2023] NZCA 179 and Nikora v Kruger [2024] NZSC 130

Required Statutory Material

Administration Act 1969
Charitable Trusts Act 1957
Charities Act 2005
Family Protection Act 1955
Law Reform (Testamentary Promises) Act 1949
Limitation Act 2010
Perpetuities Act 1964
Property (Relationships) Act 1976, Part 8
Simultaneous Deaths Act 1958
Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993, Part 12
Trustee Act 1956
Trusts Act 2019
Wills Act 2007

Additional textbooks that may be of use include:

Chevalier-Watt and Raman Equity, Trusts, and Succession (2 ed, Thomson-Reuters, 2022).

Patterson, Law of Family Protection and Testamentary Promises (5ed, LexisNexis NZ, Wellington, 2021).

The Law Commission's reports on the Law of Trusts are also important sources of commentary, particularly on the background to the Trusts Act 2019: http://www.lawcom.govt.nz/our-projects/law-trusts